

A NOTE ON A NEW CLASS OF (ω, c) -PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

MÉTHODE KOYABANDA* VIVINSKY RODRIGUE SIOPATHIS SOMBO†
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bangui, CAR

GASTON M. N'GUÉRÉKATA‡
University Distinguished Professor, NEERLab, Department of Mathematics, Morgan
State University, Baltimore, MD 21251, USA

Received January 19, 2023

Accepted February 17, 2023

Communicated by Gisèle Mophou-Loudjom

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce in a natural way a new class of (ω, c) -periodic functions and investigate some of their properties. We prove that the set of such functions is a Banach space under an appropriate norm and provide a composition result. We give also several examples of such functions.

Keywords: Banach space, (ω, c) -periodic function, $C^{(n)}$ – (ω, c) -periodic functions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42A75, 43A99.

1 Introduction

In 2018, Alvarez *et al.* [2] introduced the concept of (ω, c) -periodic functions by observing the behavior of any complex values solution $x(t)$ of the so-called Matthieu's equations

$$x'' + ax = 2q \cos(2t)x$$

which fulfills the equality $x(t + \omega) = cx(t)$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega > 0$ and c is a non zero complex number.

* e-mail address: koyamet@yahoo.fr

† e-mail address: vivinsky@gmail.com

‡ e-mail address: Gaston.NGuerekata@morgan.edu

This theory has rapidly attracted several researchers including Abadias *et al.* [1], Baillon *et al.* [3], Mophou and N'Guérékata [9]. Other contributions can be found in the following papers: [1, 2, 5–7, 9, 15] and references therein.

In their papers [6], Larrouy and N'Guérékata investigated more interesting properties of (ω, c) -periodic and asymptotically (ω, c) -periodic functions and studied solutions of such types of the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_t^\alpha u(t) = Au(t) + {}^c D_t^{\alpha-1} f(t, u(t)), & 1 < \alpha < 2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_t^\alpha u(t) = Au(t) + {}^c D_t^{\alpha-1} f(t, u(t-h)), & 1 < \alpha < 2, \quad t, h \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where ${}^c D_t^\alpha(\cdot)$ ($1 < \alpha < 2$) stands for the Caputo derivative and A is a linear densely defined operator of sectorial type on a complex Banach space \mathbb{X} , and the function $f(t, u)$ is (ω, c) -periodic or asymptotically (ω, c) -periodic with respect to the first variable.

In [9], Mophou and N'Guérékata investigated further properties of the new concept of (ω, c) -periodic functions; then they applied the results to study the existence of (ω, c) -periodic mild solutions of the fractional differential equations

$$D_t^\alpha(u(t) - F_1(t, u(t))) = A(u(t) - F_1(t, u(t))) + D_t^{\alpha-1} F_2(t, u(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $1 < \alpha < 2$, $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ is a linear densely defined operator of sectorial type on a complex Banach space X , $F_1, F_2 : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ are two (ω, c) -periodic functions satisfying suitable conditions in the second variable. The fractional derivative is understood in the sense of Riemann-Liouville.

Recently, Khallali *et al.* studied several classes of (ω, c) -almost periodic type functions with one and two variables and applied their results to some evolution equations.

On the other hand, Baillon *et al.* discussed the concept of $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic functions in their paper [3]. This class contains functions which are almost periodic as well as their successive derivatives up to the order n .

Motivated by the papers above, among many others, we will introduce a new class of functions called $C^{(n)}$ – (ω, c) -periodic functions and study some of their properties. We establish that the collections of all such functions turns out to be a Banach space, equipped with an appropriate norm and provide some examples of such functions.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper $\mathbb{X} = (\mathbb{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ will denote a complex Banach space and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$ the space of all bounded linear operators $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$, endowed with the uniform operator topology.

Definition 2.1 ([2]) *Let $\omega > 0$ and c be a non-zero complex number. A function $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ is said to be (ω, c) -periodic if $f(t + \omega) = cf(t)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case ω is called a c -period of the function f .*

We denote by $P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ the set of all (ω, c) -periodic functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{X} . When $c = 1$, we write $P_\omega(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ instead of $P_{(\omega,1)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ and we say that f is ω -periodic. When $c = -1$ we obtain the class of antiperiodic functions [11].

Using the principal branch of the complex Logarithm, $c^{\frac{t}{\omega}}$ is defined as $c^{\frac{t}{\omega}} := \exp(\frac{t}{\omega} \text{Log}(c)) = c^\wedge(t)$ and we will use the notation $c^\wedge(t) := c^{\frac{t}{\omega}}$.

In [2], Alvarez *et al.* gave a useful description of the space $P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$. That is $P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ is a translation-invariant subspace over \mathbb{C} of $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$. Then for any fixed $h > 0$ and $u \in P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$, we have $u_h(\cdot) := u(\cdot - h) \in P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$.

Proposition 2.1 ([2]) *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$. Then, $f \in P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ if and only if $f(t) = c^{\frac{t}{\omega}} u(t)$, $u(t) \in P_\omega(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$.*

Theorem 2.1 ([9]) *Let $f \in P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$. Then $Af \in P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$.*

We state and prove this basic property which follows naturally from the (ω, c) -periodicity definition. It will be very useful in the sequel.

Theorem 2.2 ([2]) *$P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ is a Banach space with the norm*

$$\|f\|_{\omega,c} := \sup_{t \in [0, \omega]} \|c^\wedge(-t)f(t)\|.$$

We note that if $f \in P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$, then $\|f\|_{\omega,c} < \infty$ and we say that f is c -bounded. The use of $\|f\|_{\omega,c}$ instead of $\|f\|_\infty$ will allow us to handle the (ω, c) -periodicity properties of f (see [2] for more details).

3 $C^{(n)}$ — (ω, c) -periodic functions

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$. Denote by $C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ (briefly $C^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$) the space of all functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ which have a continuous n^{th} derivative on \mathbb{R} . Let $C_b^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ (briefly $C_b^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$) be the subspace of $C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ consisting of such functions satisfying

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=0}^n \|f^{(i)}(t)\| < +\infty,$$

where $f^{(i)}$ denotes the i -th derivative of f and $f^{(0)} = f$. Clearly $C^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$ turns out to be a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_n = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=0}^n \|f^{(i)}(t)\|$$

(cf. for instance [3]).

3.1 Generalities

Definition 3.1 A function $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$ is said to be a $C^n - (\omega, c)$ -periodic if $f, f', f^{(2)}, \dots, f^{(n)}$ are (ω, c) -periodic.

The set of all $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic functions will be denoted by $P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$, (briefly $P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$). $P_{(\omega, c)}^{(0)}(\mathbb{X}) = P_{(\omega, c)}(\mathbb{X})$, is the classical space of all (ω, c) -periodic functions.

Example 3.1 Consider the function $f(t) = ke^{\alpha t}$, where $\alpha > 0$, k and α are non-zero complex numbers. Then f is $C^{(n)} - (\frac{1}{\alpha}, e)$ -periodic for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Indeed,

$$f\left(t + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) = ke^{\alpha\left(t + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)} = ke^{\alpha t} \cdot e = ef(t),$$

then f is $(\frac{1}{\alpha}, e)$ -periodic.

Since $f'(t) = \alpha ke^{\alpha t} = \alpha f(t)$, we will have

$$f'\left(t + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) = \alpha f\left(t + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) = \alpha ef(t) = e\alpha f(t) = ef'(t).$$

Therefore f' is $(\frac{1}{\alpha}, e)$ -periodic.

Assume that $f^{(n)}(t)$ is $(\frac{1}{\alpha}, e)$ -periodic. We have $f^{(n+1)}(t) = \alpha^{n+1}ke^{\alpha t}$. Therefore

$$f^{(n+1)}\left(t + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) = \alpha f^{(n)}\left(t + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) = \alpha ef^{(n)}(t) = e\alpha f^{(n)}(t) = ef^{(n+1)}(t).$$

So $f^{(n+1)}$ is $(\frac{1}{\alpha}, e)$ -periodic. We conclude that f is $C^{(n)} - (\frac{1}{\alpha}, e)$ -periodic for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Example 3.2 Consider the function $g(x) = \cos(\alpha x)$, where α is a positive real number. Then g is $C^{(n)} - (\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}, 1)$ -periodic for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Indeed, $g(x) = \cos(\alpha x)$, thus

$$g\left(x + \frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\right) = \cos\left(\alpha\left(x + \frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\right)\right) = g(x),$$

therefore g is $(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}, 1)$ -periodic. Since $g'(x) = -\alpha \sin(\alpha x)$ we have

$$g'\left(x + \frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\right) = -\alpha \sin\left(\alpha\left(x + \frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\right)\right) = g'(x),$$

therefore g' is $(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}, 1)$ -periodic. Similarly, $g^{(n)}(x) = \alpha^n \cos(\alpha x + n \cdot \frac{\pi}{2})$, thus

$$g^{(n)}\left(x + \frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\right) = \alpha^n \cos\left(\alpha\left(x + \frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\right) + n \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = g^{(n)}(x),$$

therefore $g^{(n)}$ is $(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}, 1)$ -periodic. We conclude that g is $C^{(n)} - (\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}, 1)$ -periodic for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proposition 3.1 *The set \$P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})\$ equipped with the \$\|\cdot\|_{(\omega, c)}^n\$ norm below*

$$\|f\|_{(\omega, c)}^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \|f^{(i)}\|_{(\omega, c)},$$

turns out to be a Banach space.

Proof. Consider \$f, g \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})\$, and \$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\$.

i)

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)^{(n)}(t + \omega) &= (f^{(n)} + g^{(n)})(t + \omega) = f^{(n)}(t + \omega) + g^{(n)}(t + \omega) \\ &= cf^{(n)}(t) + cg^{(n)}(t) = c(f^{(n)} + g^{(n)})(t) \\ &= c(f + g)^{(n)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

therefore \$f + g\$ is \$C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)\$ -periodic.

ii)

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda f)^{(n)}(t + \omega) &= (\lambda f^{(n)})(t + \omega) = \lambda f^{(n)}(t + \omega) = \lambda cf^{(n)}(t) \\ &= c(\lambda f^{(n)})(t) = c(\lambda f)^{(n)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

therefore \$\lambda f\$ is \$C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)\$ -periodic.

\$P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})\$ is a vector subspace.

Let's show that \$\|\cdot\|_{(\omega, c)}^n\$ is a norm.

i)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{(\omega, c)}^n = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^n \|f^{(i)}\|_{(\omega, c)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \|f^{(i)}\|_{(\omega, c)} = 0 \quad \forall i \in [0, n] \\ &\Leftrightarrow f^{(i)}(t) = 0 \quad \forall i \in [0, n] \quad \Leftrightarrow f(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

ii) For \$f \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})\$, and \$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\$,

$$\|\lambda f\|_{(\omega, c)}^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \|\lambda f^{(i)}\|_{(\omega, c)} = \sum_{i=0}^n |\lambda| \|f^{(i)}\|_{(\omega, c)} = |\lambda| \sum_{i=0}^n \|f^{(i)}\|_{(\omega, c)} = |\lambda| \|f\|_{(\omega, c)}^n.$$

iii) For \$f, g \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})\$,

$$\|f + g\|_{(\omega, c)}^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \|f^{(i)} + g^{(i)}\|_{(\omega, c)} \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \|f^{(i)}\|_{(\omega, c)} + \sum_{i=0}^n \|g^{(i)}\|_{(\omega, c)} = \|f\|_{(\omega, c)}^n + \|g\|_{(\omega, c)}^n.$$

Let us now show that $P_{(\omega,c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$ is complete for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{(\omega,c)}^n$. Consider $(f_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ the Cauchy sequence for the functions $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic and suppose that $f_m \rightarrow f$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists m_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m, p \geq m_0, \|f_m - f_p\|_{(\omega,c)}^n < \epsilon,$$

but

$$\|f_m - f_p\|_{(\omega,c)}^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \|f_m^{(i)} - f_p^{(i)}\|_{(\omega,c)}.$$

So, $\forall i$ we have, $\|f_m^{(i)} - f_p^{(i)}\|_{(\omega,c)} < \epsilon$. Then $\forall i$, $f_m^{(i)}$ is a sequence of Cauchy in $P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{X})$ which is a Banach space, which implies $f_m^{(i)} \rightarrow f^{(i)}$ and $f^{(i)} \in P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{X})$, $\forall i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $f^{(i)} \in P_{(\omega,c)}(\mathbb{X})$, $\forall i \in [0, n]$, then $f \in P_{(\omega,c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$. \square

Theorem 3.1 Let $f \in P_{(\omega,c_1)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$ and $g \in P_{(\omega,c_2)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$ then $(fg) \in P_{(\omega,c_1 \cdot c_2)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$.

Proof.

$$(fg)(t + \omega) = f(t + \omega) \cdot g(t + \omega) = c_1 f(t) \cdot c_2 g(t) = c_1 \cdot c_2 f(t)g(t) = c_1 \cdot c_2 (fg)(t).$$

Therefore (fg) is $(\omega, c_1 \cdot c_2)$ -periodic.

It is easy to show that

$$(fg)'(t + \omega) = c_1 \cdot c_2 (f'g + fg')(t) = c_1 \cdot c_2 (fg)'(t),$$

then $(fg)'$ is also $(\omega, c_1 \cdot c_2)$ -periodic. Suppose that $(fg)^{(n)}$ is $(\omega, c_1 \cdot c_2)$ -periodic and let us prove that $(fg)^{(n+1)}$ is also $(\omega, c_1 \cdot c_2)$ -periodic.

Set $h = (fg)^{(n)}$ then $h' = (fg)^{(n+1)}$, if $h = (fg)^{(n)}$ is $(\omega, c_1 \cdot c_2)$ then $h' = (fg)^{(n+1)}$ is also $(\omega, c_1 \cdot c_2)$ -periodic.

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= \lim_{\psi \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t + \psi) - h(t)}{\psi}, \\ h'(t + \omega) &= \lim_{\psi \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t + \omega + \psi) - h(t + \omega)}{\psi} \\ &= \lim_{\psi \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_1 \cdot c_2 h(t + \psi) - c_1 \cdot c_2 h(t)}{\psi} \\ &= c_1 \cdot c_2 \lim_{\psi \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t + \psi) - h(t)}{\psi}, \\ h'(t + \omega) &= c_1 \cdot c_2 h'(t), \end{aligned}$$

$h' = (fg)^{(n+1)}$ is $(\omega, c_1 \cdot c_2)$ -periodic. Then $(fg) \in P_{(\omega,c_1 \cdot c_2)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$. \square

In particular cases:

(a) For $c_1 = c_2 = c$, we obtain $(fg) \in P_{(\omega,c^2)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$.

(b) for $g = f$ we have the same result.

3.2 Composition result

Let f be a continuous and bounded function. We recall that, the Nemytskii operator of superposition is defined by:

$$N_F(\varphi)(t) = F(t, \varphi(t)),$$

we have the following result:

Theorem 3.2 *Let $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X})$, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\forall \varphi \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X}), \quad N_f(\varphi) \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X});$
- (ii) $\forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \quad f^{(n)}(t + w, cx) = cf^{(n)}(t, x).$

Before establishing the proof of this theorem, we will prove the following very useful lemma.

Lemma 3.1 $\forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \exists \varphi \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X}) : \varphi(t) = x.$

Proof. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be the function defined by: $\psi(t) = c^{\frac{t}{w}}$, we have $\psi \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$ and $\psi(0) = 1$. Set $\varphi(s) = \psi(s - t)x = c^{\frac{s-t}{w}} \cdot x = xe^{\frac{s-t}{w} Ln(c)}$, where $Ln(\cdot)$ stands for the principal value of the complex Logarithm. Then $\varphi \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$ and $\varphi(t) = x$. Indeed $\varphi'(s) = \frac{Ln(c)}{w} \psi(s-t)x$, $\varphi''(s) = \left(\frac{Ln(c)}{w}\right)^2 \psi(s-t)x$, step by step we have, $\varphi^{(n)}(s) = \left(\frac{Ln(c)}{w}\right)^n \psi(s-t)x$, in addition,

$$\varphi^{(n)}(s + w) = \left(\frac{Ln(c)}{w}\right)^n \psi(s + w - t)x = \left(\frac{Ln(c)}{w}\right)^n c\psi(s-t)x = c\varphi^{(n)}(s),$$

we have just shown that $\varphi \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$. □

Let us now prove Theorem 3.2.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose that N_f is $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic ($\forall n = 1, 2, \dots$). So, $N_f^{(n)}$ is (ω, c) -periodic. We have

$$\begin{aligned} N_f^{(n)}(\varphi)(t + w) &= cN_f^{(n)}(\varphi)(t), \\ f^{(n)}(t + w, \varphi(t + w)) &= cf^{(n)}(t, \varphi(t)), \\ f^{(n)}(t + w, c\varphi(t)) &= cf^{(n)}(t, \varphi(t)), \end{aligned}$$

if we set $x = \varphi(t)$ then we get $f^{(n)}(t + w, cx) = cf^{(n)}(t, x)$.

Let us now prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that $\forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, f^{(n)}(t + w, cx) = cf^{(n)}(t, x)$, by the lemma above $\forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \exists \varphi \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$ such that $x = \varphi(t)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(n)}(t + w, c\varphi(t)) &= cf^{(n)}(t, \varphi(t)), \\ f^{(n)}(t + w, \varphi(t + w)) &= cf^{(n)}(t, \varphi(t)), \\ N_f^{(n)}(\varphi)(t + w) &= cN_f^{(n)}(\varphi)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then $N_f^{(n)}(\varphi) \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$ and $N_f(\varphi)$ is $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic. The proof is completed. □

Example 3.3 Consider the heat equation

$$u_t(x, t) = u_{xx}(x, t),$$

where $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Consider continuous and bounded regular solution $u(x, t)$ with $u(x, 0) = f(x)$. Then it is known that

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-s)^2}{4t}} f(s) ds.$$

Fix $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and suppose that $f(x)$ is $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic. Then $u(x, t_0)$ is also $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic. Indeed, we can set, $u(x, t_0) = f * h$ where $h(x) = \mathbb{I}_{[0, +\infty)} a_{t_0}(x)$ and $a_{t_0}(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t_0}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t_0}}$ then $u(x, t_0) \in P_{(\omega, c)}^{(n)}(\mathbb{X})$ according to Theorem 3.2 above.

Example 3.4 Let f be $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic. Then the function $F_a(t) := \int_t^{t+a} f(s) ds$ is $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic.

The proof is easy as a combination of [9, Theorem 2.7], [7, Theorem 2.14] and an appropriate change of variable f .

Indeed, $F_a(t+w) := \int_{t+w}^{t+w+a} f(s) ds$. Using the change of variable $s = \sigma + w$, $ds = d\sigma$ we obtain

$$F_a(t+w) = \int_t^{t+a} f(\sigma+w) d\sigma = \int_t^{t+a} cf(\sigma) d\sigma = cF_a(t).$$

Then $F_a(t)$ is (ω, c) -periodic. It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} F'_a(t) &= f(t+a) - f(t), \\ F'_a(t+w) &= f(t+w+a) - f(t+w) = cf(t+a) - cf(t) = cF'_a(t), \\ F''_a(t) &= f'(t+a) - f'(t), \\ F''_a(t+w) &= f'(t+w+a) - f'(t+w) = cf'(t+a) - cf'(t) = cF''_a(t), \end{aligned}$$

step by step we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_a^{(n)}(t) &= f^{(n-1)}(t+a) - f^{(n-1)}(t), \\ F_a^{(n)}(t+w) &= f^{(n-1)}(t+w+a) - f^{(n-1)}(t+w) = cf^{(n-1)}(t+a) - cf^{(n-1)}(t) = cF_a^{(n)}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then f is $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic $\Rightarrow F_a(t)$ is $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic.

Example 3.5 Let $u(x, t)$ be a regular solution in \mathbb{R}^2 of the wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt}(x, t) &= u_{xx}(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) &= f(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = g(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x+t) + f(x-t)] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} g(s) ds.$$

If we assume that f, g are $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic, then for $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $u(x, t_0)$ will be also $C^{(n)} - (\omega, c)$ -periodic.

Indeed,

$$u(x+w, t_0) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x+w+t_0) + f(x+w-t_0)] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x+w-t_0}^{x+w+t_0} g(s) ds.$$

Using the change of variable $s = \sigma + w$, $ds = d\sigma$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(x+w, t_0) &= \frac{1}{2}[cf(x+t_0) + cf(x-t_0)] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t_0}^{x+t_0} g(\sigma+w) d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2}c[f(x+t_0) + f(x-t_0)] + \frac{1}{2}c \int_{x-t_0}^{x+t_0} g(\sigma) d\sigma \\ &= cu(x, t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then $u(x, t_0)$ is (ω, c) -periodic. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} u'(x, t_0) &= \frac{1}{2}[f'(x+t_0) + f'(x-t_0)] + \frac{1}{2}[g(x+t_0) - g(x-t_0)], \\ u'(x+w, t_0) &= \frac{1}{2}[f'(x+w+t_0) + f'(x+w-t_0)] + \frac{1}{2}[g(x+w+t_0) - g(x+w-t_0)] \\ &= cu'(x, t_0), \\ &\vdots \\ u^{(n)}(x, t_0) &= \frac{1}{2}[f^{(n)}(x+t_0) + f^{(n)}(x-t_0)] + \frac{1}{2}[g^{(n-1)}(x+t_0) - g^{(n-1)}(x-t_0)], \\ u^{(n)}(x+w, t_0) &= cu^{(n)}(x, t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then $u(x, t_0)$ is $C^{(n)}$ – (ω, c) -periodic.

4 Conclusion

In this note, we introduce in a natural way a new class of (ω, c) -periodic functions which includes the one presented in the pioneer work [1] and study some of their elementary properties. Our next step is to apply these results in studying the long term behavior of mild solutions to some abstract and evolution equations.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the referee and the editors for their anonymous valuable comments. We are also grateful to Steeven MBetissinga for pointing our some errors in the previous version.

References

- [1] L. Abadias, E. Alvarez, R. Grau, (ω, c) -periodic mild solutions to non-autonomous abstract differential equations, *Mathematics* **9**, no. 5 (2021), pp. 474–489.

- [2] E. Alvarez, A. Gómez, M. Pinto Jiménez, (ω, c) -periodic functions and mild solutions to abstract fractional integro-differential equations, *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations* **16**, (2018), pp. 1–8.
- [3] J-B. Baillon, J. Blot, G. M. N'Guérékata, D. Pennequin, *On C^m -Almost periodic solutions to some nonautonomous differential equations in Banach spaces*, *Annales Societatis Mathematicae Polonae Series I: Commentationes Mathematicae* **46**, no. 2 (2006), pp. 263–273.
- [4] D. Bugajewski, T. Diagana, *Almost Automorphy of the convolution operator and applications to differential and functional differential equations*, *Nonlinear Studies* **13**, no. 2 (2006), pp. 129–140.
- [5] M. T. Khalladi, M. Kostic, A. Rahmani, D. Velinov, (ω, c) -almost periodic type functions and applications, *Filomat* **37**, no. 2 (2023), pp. 363–385.
- [6] J. Larrouy, G. M. N'Guérékata, (ω, c) -periodic and asymptotically (ω, c) -periodic mild solutions to fractional Cauchy problem, *Applicable Analysis* **102**, no. 3 (2023), pp. 958–976.
- [7] J. Larrouy, G. M. N'Guérékata, *Measure (ω, c) pseudo almost periodic functions and Lasota-Ważewska model with ergodic and unbounded oscillating oxygen demand*, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* **2022**, (2022), Article ID 9558928.
- [8] J. Liang, L. Maniar, G. M. N'Guérékata, T-J. Xiao, *Existence and uniqueness of $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic solutions to some ordinary differential equations*, *Nonlinear Analysis* **66**, (2007), pp. 1899–1910.
- [9] G. Mophou, G. M. N'Guérékata, *An existence result of (ω, c) -periodic mild solutions of some fractional differential equation*, *Nonlinear Studies* **27**, no. 1 (2020), pp. 167–175.
- [10] G. M. N'Guérékata, *Almost Periodic and Almost Automorphic Functions in Abstract Spaces, 2nd edition*, Springer, New York, 2021.
- [11] G. M. N'Guérékata, V. Valmorin, *Antiperiodic solutions of semilinear integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces*, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **218**, no. 2 (2012), pp. 11118–11124.
- [12] J. Blot, P. Cieutat, G. M. N'Guérékata, D. Pennequin, *Superposition operators between various almost periodic function spaces and application*, *Communication in Mathematical Analysis* **6**, no. 1 (2009), pp. 42–70.
- [13] J. Liu, G. M. N'Guérékata, N. Van Minh, *Topics on Stability and Periodicity in Abstract Differential Equations, vol. 6 of Series on Concrete and Applicable Mathematics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2008.
- [14] T. Diagana, G. M. N'Guérékata, N. Van Minh, *Almost automorphic solutions of evolution equations*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **132**, (2004), pp. 3289–3298.
- [15] R. G. Foko Tiomela, G. M. N'Guérékata, (ω, c) asymptotically periodic solutions to some fractional integrodifferential equations, *Journal of fractional calculus and applications* **13**, no. 2 (2022), pp. 100–115.