

PSEUDO ALMOST PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR A NICHOLSON'S BLOWFLIES MODEL WITH MORTALITY TERM

HUI-SHENG DING*, MENG-XUAN JI†

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Jiangxi Normal University,
Nanchang, Jiangxi 330022, People's Republic of China

GASTON M. N'GUÉRÉKATA‡

Department of Mathematics, Morgan State University,
1700 E. Cold Spring Lane, Baltimore, M.D. 21251, USA

Received January 15, 2016

Accepted March 2, 2016

Communicated by Maximilian F. Hasler

Abstract. This article is concerned with a discrete Nicholson's blowflies model, which involves a nonlinear density-dependent mortality term. By using a fixed point theorem and Lyapunov functional method, we obtain the existence and locally exponential stability of pseudo almost periodic solutions for the addressed Nicholson's blowflies model. In addition, an example is given to illustrate our results.

Keywords: Exponential stability, Nicholson's blowflies model, pseudo almost periodic.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A24, 34C27.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In population dynamics, the classical Nicholson's blowflies equation developed by Gurney et al. [12] takes the following form:

$$x'(t) = -\alpha x(t) + \beta x(t - \tau)e^{-\gamma x(t-\tau)},$$

*e-mail address: dinghs@mail.ustc.edu.cn

†e-mail address: 280596433@qq.com

‡e-mail address: Gaston.N'Guerekata@morgan.edu

where $x(t)$ denotes the population of sexually mature adults at time t , β is the maximum possible per capita daily egg production rate, $\frac{1}{\gamma}$ is the size at which the population reproduces at its maximum rate, α is the per capita daily adult death rate, and τ is the generation time.

Recently, the study on Nicholson's blowflies model has attracted much attention. Especially, several authors have made contribution on the existence of periodic solutions and almost periodic type solutions (see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21] and references therein). Especially, in [10, 16, 19], the authors investigated the existence and stability of almost periodic solutions for Nicholson's blowflies models with a nonlinear density-dependent mortality term:

$$x'(t) = -\alpha(t)x(t) + b(t)e^{-x(t)} + \beta(t)x(t - \tau(t))e^{-\gamma(t)x(t-\tau(t))}. \tag{1.1}$$

In fact, as pointed out by Berezansky et al. in [4], a new study indicates that a linear model of density-dependent mortality will be most accurate for populations at low densities, and marine ecologists are currently in the process of constructing new fishery models with nonlinear density-dependent mortality rates. Therefore, studying the dynamical behavior for Nicholson's blowflies models with a nonlinear density-dependent mortality term is an interesting and important topic.

In this paper, we aim to study the existence and stability of pseudo almost periodic solutions for the following discrete Nicholson's blowflies model given by

$$\Delta x(n) = -\alpha(n)x(n) + b(n) + \beta(n) \ln x(n - \tau(n)) \cdot \frac{x(n)}{x^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n))}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha, b, \beta, \gamma, \tau: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are pseudo almost periodic sequences. Equation (1.2) can be seen as a discrete analogue of equation (1.1). In fact, letting $x(t) = \ln y(t)$ in equation (1.1), one can obtain

$$y'(t) = -\alpha(t)y(t) + b(t) + \beta(t) \ln y(t - \tau(t)) \cdot \frac{y(t)}{y^{\gamma(t)}(t - \tau(t))}.$$

Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathbb{R} the set of real numbers, by \mathbb{R}^+ the set of nonnegative real numbers, by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers, by \mathbb{Z} the set of integers, and by \mathbb{Z}^+ the set of nonnegative integers. Moreover, for every bounded sequence $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote

$$f^+ = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n), \quad f^- = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n).$$

Next, let us recall some basic definitions and results about almost periodic type sequences. For more details, we refer the reader to [7, 8, 20].

Definition 1 A set $E \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is called relatively dense if there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[n, n + l] \cap E \neq \emptyset$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2 A function $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an almost periodic sequence if for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P(\epsilon, f) = \{\tau \in \mathbb{Z} : |f(n + \tau) - f(n)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a relatively dense set in \mathbb{Z} . Denote by $AP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ the set of all such functions.

Denote by $PAP_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ the space of all bounded functions $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=-n}^n |f(k)| = 0.$$

Definition 3 A function $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a pseudo almost periodic sequence if it admits a decomposition $f = g + h$, where $g \in AP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ and $h \in PAP_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$. Denote by $PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ the set of all such functions.

Lemma 1 Let $X \in \{AP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}), PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})\}$. Then the following hold true:

- (a) $f \in X$ implies that f is bounded;
- (b) $f, g \in X$ implies that $f + g, f \cdot g \in X$; moreover, $f, g \in X$ implies that $f/g \in X$ provided that $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(n)| > 0$;
- (c) X is a Banach space equipped with the supremum norm;
- (d) $f \in X$ implies that $f(\cdot + k) \in X$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (e) $f \in X$ implies that $F \circ f \in X$ for every continuous function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Now, let us recall some basic results about the difference system

$$x(n+1) = A(n)x(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.3)$$

where for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x(n) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ and $A(n)$ is an invertible $q \times q$ matrix. Denote

$$\Phi(n, m) = \begin{cases} A(n-1) \cdots A(m), & \text{if } n > m, \\ I, & \text{if } n = m, \\ A^{-1}(n) \cdots A^{-1}(m), & \text{if } n < m. \end{cases}$$

Definition 4 ([20]) We say that the linear difference system (1.3) has an exponential dichotomy on \mathbb{Z} if there are positive constants K, λ and a family of projections $P(n)$ such that

$$P(n+1)A(n) = A(n)P(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$|\Phi(n, m)P(m)| \leq Ke^{-\lambda(n-m)}, \quad n \geq m, \quad |\Phi(n, m)(I - P(m))| \leq Ke^{-\lambda(m-n)}, \quad m > n.$$

Lemma 2 ([20]) If the linear difference system (1.3) has an exponential dichotomy on \mathbb{Z} and $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then the inhomogeneous system

$$x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) + f(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

has a unique bounded solution given by

$$x(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{n-1} \Phi(n, m+1)P(m+1)f(m) - \sum_{m=n}^{+\infty} \Phi(n, m+1)(I - P(m+1))f(m), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Throughout the rest of this paper, for every subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \Omega)$ the set of all functions $f \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ with $f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \Omega$. We also use the notations $AP(\mathbb{Z}, \Omega)$ and $PAP_0(\mathbb{Z}, \Omega)$, which are similar to $PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \Omega)$. The following two lemmas were proved in [13].

Lemma 3 *Let $\phi \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tau \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$. Then, $\phi(\cdot - \tau(\cdot)) \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Lemma 4 *Let $\theta, f \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\|\theta\| < 1$, and*

$$F(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{n-1} \left[\prod_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \theta(i) \right] f(m), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where we denote $\prod_{i=n}^{n-1} \theta(i) = 1$ for simplicity. Then, $F \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$.

2 Main results

For the next existence theorem, we will use the following assumptions:

(A0) $\alpha \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ with $0 < \alpha^- \leq \alpha^+ < 1$, $b, \beta, \gamma \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $b^-, \beta^-, \gamma^- > 0$ and $\tau \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^+)$;

(A1) there exist $\Gamma_1 \geq \Gamma_2 \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{b^+ \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} + \beta^+ \Gamma_1 \ln \Gamma_1}{\alpha^- \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-}} \leq \Gamma_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b^- \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} + \beta^- \Gamma_2 \ln \Gamma_2}{\alpha^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+}} \geq \Gamma_2;$$

(A2) $\beta^+(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2^{\gamma^- - 1} + \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} \ln \Gamma_1 + \gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} \ln \Gamma_1) < \alpha^- \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}$.

Theorem 1 *Let (A0)–(A2) hold. Then there exists a unique pseudo almost periodic solution of equation (1.2) in $\Psi^* = \{\varphi \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}) : \Gamma_2 \leq \varphi(n) \leq \Gamma_1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.*

Proof. Fix $\phi \in \Psi^*$. Let us consider an auxiliary equation

$$\Delta x(n) = -\alpha(n)x(n) + b(n) + \beta(n) \ln \phi(n - \tau(n)) \cdot \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n))}, \quad (2.1)$$

i.e.,

$$x(n+1) = (1 - \alpha(n))x(n) + b(n) + \beta(n) \ln \phi(n - \tau(n)) \cdot \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n))}.$$

Noting that $0 < \alpha^- \leq \alpha^+ < 1$, we know that the linear equation $x(n+1) = (1 - \alpha(n))x(n)$ admits an exponential dichotomy on \mathbb{Z} with $P(n) \equiv I$.

Noting that

$$\phi^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n)) = e^{\ln \phi^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n))} = e^{\gamma(n) \ln \phi(n - \tau(n))},$$

by (A0), Lemma 3 and (e) of Lemma 1, we conclude that $n \mapsto \phi^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n))$ is in $PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$. Again by (A0), Lemma 3, and (b) of Lemma 1, in view of

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n)) \geq \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-},$$

we deduce that

$$n \mapsto b(n) + \beta(n) \ln \phi(n - \tau(n)) \cdot \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n))}$$

is in $PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$.

Then, by Lemma 2, equation (2.1) has a unique bounded solution given by

$$x^\phi(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} \left[\prod_{r=k+1}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha(r)) \right] \cdot \left[b(k) + \beta(k) \ln \phi(k - \tau(k)) \cdot \frac{\phi(k)}{\phi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k))} \right].$$

Define a mapping T on Ψ^* by

$$(T\phi)(n) = x^\phi(n), \quad \phi \in \Psi^*, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows from $\alpha \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$, $\|1 - \alpha\| \leq 1 - \alpha^- < 1$, and Lemma 4 that $T(\Psi^*) \subset PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$.

Next, let us show that $T(\Psi^*) \subset \Psi^*$. For every $\phi \in \Psi^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T\phi)(n) &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} \prod_{r=k+1}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha^-) \left(b^+ + \beta^+ \ln \Gamma_1 \cdot \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_2^{\gamma^-}} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha^-)^{n-k-1} \left(b^+ + \beta^+ \ln \Gamma_1 \cdot \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_2^{\gamma^-}} \right) \\ &= \frac{b^+ \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} + \beta^+ \Gamma_1 \ln \Gamma_1}{\alpha^- \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-}} \leq \Gamma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for every $\phi \in \Psi^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T\phi)(n) &\geq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} \prod_{r=k+1}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha^+) \left(b^- + \beta^- \ln \Gamma_2 \cdot \frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1^{\gamma^+}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha^+)^{n-k-1} \left(b^- + \beta^- \ln \Gamma_2 \cdot \frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1^{\gamma^+}} \right) \\ &= \frac{b^- \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} + \beta^- \Gamma_2 \ln \Gamma_2}{\alpha^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+}} \geq \Gamma_2. \end{aligned}$$

This tells that T is a self-mapping from Ψ^* to Ψ^* .

For all $\varphi, \psi \in \Psi^*$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|T(\varphi) - T(\psi)\| \\
 &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(T\varphi)(n) - (T\psi)(n)| \\
 &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} \prod_{r=k+1}^{n-1} [1 - \alpha(r)] \beta(k) \left[\ln \varphi(k - \tau(k)) \cdot \frac{\varphi(k)}{\varphi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k))} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \ln \psi(k - \tau(k)) \cdot \frac{\psi(k)}{\psi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k))} \right] \right| \\
 &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} \prod_{r=k+1}^{n-1} [1 - \alpha(r)] \beta(k) \left\{ \frac{\varphi(k)}{\varphi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k))} [\ln \varphi(k - \tau(k)) - \ln \psi(k - \tau(k))] \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\ln \psi(k - \tau(k))}{\psi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k))} [\varphi(k) - \psi(k)] \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{\ln \psi(k - \tau(k)) \cdot \varphi(k)}{\varphi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k)) \cdot \psi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k))} [\varphi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k)) - \psi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k))] \right\} \right| \\
 &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} \prod_{r=k+1}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha^-) \beta^+ \left\{ \frac{\varphi(k)}{\varphi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k))} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma_2} \|\varphi - \psi\| + \frac{\ln \psi(k - \tau(k))}{\psi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k))} \cdot \|\varphi - \psi\| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\ln \psi(k - \tau(k)) \cdot \varphi(k)}{\varphi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k)) \cdot \psi^{\gamma(k)}(k - \tau(k))} \cdot \gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+ - 1} \|\varphi - \psi\| \right\} \\
 &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{n-1} \prod_{r=k+1}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha^-) \beta^+ \left(\frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_2^{\gamma^-}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma_2} + \frac{\ln \Gamma_1}{\Gamma_2^{\gamma^-}} + \frac{\ln \Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_1}{\Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} \cdot \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-}} \cdot \gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+ - 1} \right) \|\varphi - \psi\| \\
 &= \frac{\beta^+ (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2^{\gamma^- - 1} + \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} \ln \Gamma_1 + \gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} \ln \Gamma_1)}{\alpha^- \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}} \cdot \|\varphi - \psi\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

By **(A2)**, T is a contraction. Therefore, T has a unique fixed point in Ψ^* , which means that equation (1.2) has a unique pseudo almost periodic solution in Ψ^* . This completes the proof. \square

Next, let us discuss the exponential stability of pseudo almost periodic solutions of equation (1.2).

Theorem 2 *Suppose that **(A0)**–**(A2)** are satisfied, x_* is the unique pseudo almost periodic solution of equation (1.2) in Ψ^* , and x is an arbitrary solution of equation (1.2) with the property that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Gamma_2 \leq x(n) \leq \Gamma_1$ for all $n \geq N$. Moreover, assume that the following estimate holds*

$$\beta^+ (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2^{\gamma^- - 1} + \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} \ln \Gamma_1 + \gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} \ln \Gamma_1) < \frac{1 - \alpha^+}{1 - \alpha^-} \cdot \alpha^- \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then, there is a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$|x(n) - x_*(n)| \leq M e^{-\lambda n}, \quad n \geq N,$$

where $M = e^{\lambda N} \cdot \max_{N - \tau^+ \leq n \leq N} |x(n) - x_*(n)|$.

Proof. Let $y(n) = x(n) - x_*(n)$, $n \geq N$. Then

$$\Delta y(n) = -\alpha(n)y(n) + \beta(n) \left[\frac{x(n) \ln x(n - \tau(n))}{x^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n))} - \frac{x_*(n) \ln x_*(n - \tau(n))}{x_*^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n))} \right],$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta y(n) &= -\frac{\alpha(n)}{1 - \alpha(n)}y(n+1) \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta(n)}{1 - \alpha(n)} \left[\frac{x(n) \ln x(n - \tau(n))}{x^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n))} - \frac{x_*(n) \ln x_*(n - \tau(n))}{x_*^{\gamma(n)}(n - \tau(n))} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By (2.2), we can choose a sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\beta^+ \left(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2^{\gamma^- - 1} \cdot e^{\lambda(\tau^+ + 1)} + \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} \ln \Gamma_1 \cdot e^\lambda + \gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} \ln \Gamma_1 \cdot e^{\lambda(\tau^+ + 1)} \right)}{(1 - \alpha^+) \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}} \\ &< \frac{\alpha^-}{1 - \alpha^-} + 1 - e^\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Consider the discrete Lyapunov functional

$$V(n) = |y(n)|e^{\lambda n}, \quad n \geq N.$$

It is easy to see that $V(n) \leq M$ for all $n \in [N - \tau^+, N]$. We claim that $V(n) \leq M$ for all $n > N$. In fact, if this is not true,

$$\{n > N : V(n) > M\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Set

$$n_0 = \min\{n > N : V(n) > M\} - 1.$$

Then $n_0 \geq N$ and

$$V(n_0 + 1) > M, \quad V(n) \leq M, \quad n \in [N - \tau^+, n_0].$$

In addition, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\Gamma_2 \leq x(n) \leq \Gamma_1$ for all $n \geq N - \tau^+$. Then, by (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V(n_0) &= \Delta(|y(n_0)|e^{\lambda n_0}) \\ &= \Delta|y(n_0)|e^{\lambda(n_0+1)} + |y(n_0)|\Delta e^{\lambda n_0} \\ &\leq \frac{-\alpha(n_0)}{1 - \alpha(n_0)}|y(n_0 + 1)|e^{\lambda(n_0+1)} + |y(n_0)| \left(e^{\lambda(n_0+1)} - e^{\lambda n_0} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta(n_0)}{1 - \alpha(n_0)} \left| \frac{x(n_0) \ln x(n_0 - \tau(n_0))}{x^{\gamma(n_0)}(n_0 - \tau(n_0))} - \frac{x_*(n_0) \ln x_*(n_0 - \tau(n_0))}{x_*^{\gamma(n_0)}(n_0 - \tau(n_0))} \right| e^{\lambda(n_0+1)} \\ &\leq -\frac{\alpha^-}{1 - \alpha^-}M + M(e^\lambda - 1) \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta^+}{1 - \alpha^+} \left| \frac{x(n_0) \ln x(n_0 - \tau(n_0))}{x^{\gamma(n_0)}(n_0 - \tau(n_0))} - \frac{x_*(n_0) \ln x_*(n_0 - \tau(n_0))}{x_*^{\gamma(n_0)}(n_0 - \tau(n_0))} \right| e^{\lambda(n_0+1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq M \left(e^\lambda - 1 - \frac{\alpha^-}{1 - \alpha^-} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\beta^+ \left(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2^{\gamma^- - 1} \cdot |y(n_0 - \tau(n_0))| + \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} \ln \Gamma_1 \cdot |y(n_0)| \right) e^{\lambda(n_0+1)}}{(1 - \alpha^+) \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\beta^+ \left(\gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} \ln \Gamma_1 \cdot |y(n_0 - \tau(n_0))| \right) e^{\lambda(n_0+1)}}{(1 - \alpha^+) \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}} \\
 &\leq M \left(e^\lambda - 1 - \frac{\alpha^-}{1 - \alpha^-} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{M \beta^+ \left(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2^{\gamma^- - 1} \cdot e^{\lambda(\tau^++1)} + \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} \ln \Gamma_1 \cdot e^\lambda + \gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} \ln \Gamma_1 \cdot e^{\lambda(\tau^++1)} \right)}{(1 - \alpha^+) \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}} \\
 &= M \left[e^\lambda - 1 - \frac{\alpha^-}{1 - \alpha^-} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\beta^+ \left(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2^{\gamma^- - 1} \cdot e^{\lambda(\tau^++1)} + \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} \ln \Gamma_1 \cdot e^\lambda + \gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} \ln \Gamma_1 \cdot e^{\lambda(\tau^++1)} \right)}{(1 - \alpha^+) \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\Delta V(n_0) < 0$ and leads to a contradiction. So $V(n) \leq M$ for all $n \geq N$, i.e.,

$$|x(n) - x^*(n)| \leq M e^{-\lambda n}, \quad n \geq N.$$

□

At last, we give an example to show that all the assumptions in the above two theorems can be satisfied.

Example 1 Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, b \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tau \in PAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^+)$ be such that

$$\alpha^- = 0.3, \quad \alpha^+ = 0.4, \quad \beta^- = 0.1, \quad \beta^+ = 0.2,$$

and

$$\gamma^+ = \gamma^- = 1, \quad b^- = 40, \quad b^+ = 41.$$

So **(A0)** holds. Choosing $\Gamma_2 = e^4 < \Gamma_1 = 4e^4$, we have

$$\frac{b^+ \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} + \beta^+ \Gamma_1 \ln \Gamma_1}{\alpha^- \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}} \approx 151.030 < \Gamma_1 = 4e^4 \approx 218.393,$$

and

$$\frac{b^- \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} + \beta^- \Gamma_2 \ln \Gamma_2}{\alpha^+ \Gamma_1^{2\gamma^+}} = 100.25 > \Gamma_2 = e^4 \approx 54.598.$$

Thus, **(A1)** holds. Moreover,

$$\frac{\beta^+ (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2^{\gamma^- - 1} + \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} \ln \Gamma_1 + \gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} \ln \Gamma_1)}{\alpha^- \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}} \approx 0.378 < 1,$$

which means that (A2) holds, and

$$\frac{\beta^+(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2^{\gamma^- - 1} + \Gamma_2^{\gamma^-} \ln \Gamma_1 + \gamma^+ \Gamma_1^{\gamma^+} \ln \Gamma_1)}{\alpha^- \Gamma_2^{2\gamma^-}} < \frac{1 - \alpha^+}{1 - \alpha^-} = \frac{6}{7},$$

i.e., (2.2) holds. Thus, all the assumptions of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are satisfied.

Acknowledgements H.-S. Ding acknowledges support from NSFC (11461034), the Program for Cultivating Young Scientist of Jiangxi Province (20133BCB23009), and the NSF of Jiangxi Province (20143ACB21001).

Editorial note The paper was first published under the same title in the *International Journal of Biomathematics and Systems Biology* in 2016, then withdrawn by the authors.

References

- [1] J. O. Alzabut, *Almost periodic solutions for an impulsive delay Nicholson's blowflies model*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **234** (2010), no. 1, 233–239.
- [2] J. O. Alzabut, Y. Bolat, T. Abdeljawad, *Almost periodic dynamics of a discrete Nicholson's blowflies model involving a linear harvesting term*, Advances in Difference Equations 2012, **2012**:158.
- [3] J. O. Alzabut, *Existence and exponential convergence of almost periodic solutions for a discrete Nicholson's blowflies model with nonlinear harvesting term*, Mathematical Sciences Letters **2** (2013), no. 3, 201–207.
- [4] L. Berezansky, E. Braverman, L. Idels, *Nicholson's blowflies differential equations revisited: Main results and open problems*, Applied Mathematical Modelling **34** (2010), no. 6, 1405–1417.
- [5] W. Chen, L. Wang, *Positive periodic solutions of Nicholson-type delay systems with nonlinear density-dependent mortality terms*, Abstract and Applied Analysis 2012, Art. ID 843178, 13 pp.
- [6] F. Chérif, *Pseudo almost periodic solution of Nicholson's blowflies model with mixed delays*, Applied Mathematical Modelling **39** (2015), no. 17, 5152–5163.
- [7] C. Corduneanu, *Almost periodic functions*, 2nd edition, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1989.
- [8] H.-S. Ding, J.-D. Fu, G. M. N'Guérékata, *Positive almost periodic type solutions to a class of nonlinear difference equations*, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations **25** (2011), 1–16.
- [9] H.-S. Ding, J. J. Nieto, *A new approach for positive almost periodic solutions to a class of Nicholson's blowflies model*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **253** (2013), 249–254.
- [10] H.-S. Ding, J. O. Alzabut, *Existence of positive almost periodic solution for a Nicholson's blowflies model*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **2015** (2015), no. 180, 1–6.

- [11] L. Duan, L. H. Huang, *Pseudo almost periodic dynamics of delay Nicholson's blowflies model with a linear harvesting term*, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* **38** (2015), no. 6, 1178–1189.
- [12] W. S. Gurney, S. P. Blythe, R. M. Nisbet, *Nicholson's blowflies revisited*, *Nature* **287** (1980), 17–21.
- [13] M.-X. Ji, H.-S. Ding, *Pseudo almost periodic solutions for a discrete Nicholson's blowflies model* (preprint).
- [14] B. Liu, *The existence and uniqueness of positive periodic solutions of Nicholson-type delay systems*, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* **12** (2011), no. 6, 3145–3151.
- [15] B. Liu, W. Chen, *Positive almost periodic solutions for a class of Nicholson's blowflies model with multiple time-varying delays*, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **235** (2011), no. 8, 2090–2097.
- [16] B. Liu, *Almost periodic solutions for a delayed Nicholson's blowflies model with a nonlinear density-dependent mortality term*, *Advances in Difference Equations* 2014, **2014**:72.
- [17] Q.-L. Liu, H.-S. Ding, *Existence of positive almost periodic solutions for a Nicholson's blowflies model*, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* **2013** (2013), no. 56, 1–9.
- [18] F. Long, *Positive almost periodic solution for a class of Nicholson's blowflies model with a linear harvesting term*, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* **13** (2012), no. 2, 686–693.
- [19] Y.-L. Xu, *Existence and global exponential stability of positive almost periodic solutions for a delayed Nicholson's blowflies model*, *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society* **51** (2014), no. 3, 473–493.
- [20] C. Zhang, *Almost periodic type functions and ergodicity*, Kluwer Academic/Science Press, Beijing, 2003.
- [21] Q. Zhou, *The positive periodic solution for Nicholson-type delay system with linear harvesting terms*, *Applied Mathematical Modelling* **37** (2013), no. 8, 5581–5590.